

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>[1'A)</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,759	05/26/2006	. Malcolm Bell	17178.011	9448
	7590 01/31/2008 TE & DADKE I I D	EXAMINER		
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP 30 ROCKEFELER PLAZA			LABAZE, EDWYN	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10112		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2887	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		10/580,759	BELL ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		EDWYN LABAZE	2887				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
 WHICHEVER IS LONGEI Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m If NO period for reply is specified 	R, FROM THE MAILING In the state of the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the state of this communication. The state of the maximum statutory period the state of the state	DATE OF THIS COMM .136(a). In no event, however, no will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
	ater than three months after the maili	* -	me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status		•		•			
2a)☐ This action is FINA l 3)☐ Since this application	,—	is action is non-final. ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
Disposition of Claims		•	·				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-64 and 6</u> 4a) Of the above cla 5) Claim(s) is/a 6) Claim(s) <u>1-21,26-35</u> 7) Claim(s) <u>22-25,36-3</u> 8) Claim(s) are	im(s) is/are withdra re allowed. 5 <u>,40-48,53-64,66,70</u> is/are 8 <u>9,49-53 and 67-69</u> is/are	awn from consideration rejected. objected to.	·	•			
Application Papers							
Applicant may not req Replacement drawing	on <u>26 June 2006</u> is/are: a uest that any objection to the sheet(s) including the corre	a) accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in ab ction is required if the dra	objected to by the Examiner. beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 Ceched Office Action or form P	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19						
1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: es of the priority documer es of the priority documer	nts have been received nts have been received prity documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P1 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/20	t Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) D Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				

10/580,759

Art Unit: 2887

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of IDS 5/26/2006.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of preliminary amendments filed on 3/02/2007.
- 3. Claims 1-64 and 66-7 are presented for examination.
- 4. This application is a 371 of PCT/GB04/05007 filed on 11/26/2004.

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 27-28 and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claims 27-28 (page 6, lines 12-13 & 16 respectively): There is no antecedent basis for the limitations "the first processing means", "the first database", and "the second processing means". Note that none of these limitations is recited in claim 17.

Re claim 54: There is no antecedent basis for the limitation "the internet". The applicant is respectfully requested to amend the claimed language so as to render the claimed invention in condition for allowance.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-10, 12-21, 31-35, 40-47, 58-66, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated Maloney by (US 2004/0021570).

Re claims 1, 9, 17, 35, 45-46, and 62: Maloney discloses mobile object tracking system, which includes container {herein enclosure cabinet 20, as shown in fig.# 2} to receive the monetary objects {as described in paragraph 3}, which once opened cannot be re-used as such packaging, and an RFID device to be packaged within the container so as to be removable from the container when opened for re-use in another said container (paragraph 55).

Maloney further teaches means for determining first value data relating to a sheet object to be stacked in the container; and an RF reader/writer {herein a sensor} for writing said first value data to an RFID device (paragraph 70).

Maloney also discloses means of including a closure member 28 for sealing the RFID device inside the container (see paragraph 40).

Maloney discloses a system and method, comprising first processing means {herein controller 11/110} having a first database for storing the first value therein and displaying means (paragraphs 38-39, 47-49, and 63).

Re claims 2, 14-15, 31-33, and 58-60: Maloney teaches system and method, including a closure member 28 for sealing the RFID device inside the container (see paragraph 40).

Re claim 3: Maloney discloses a system and method, wherein the RFID device is a read/write RFID tag (see paragraph 55).

Re claim 4: Maloney teaches system and method, wherein the RFID device is a read-only RFID tag (paragraph 56).

Re claims 5-7, 13, 16: Maloney discloses a system and method containing a stack {herein interpreted as straps for holding the objects} of sheet of monetary objects, comprising a member that forms a base of sheet monetary objects (paragraphs 16-17).

Re claim 8: Maloney teaches a system and method, wherein the container is made of recyclable plastics material (paragraph 42).

Re claim 10: Maloney discloses a system and method, including opening the container removing the monetary objects from the opened container, removing the RFID device from the container and re-using the RFID device when packaging monetary items in another the container (paragraph 59).

Re claim 12: Maloney teaches a system and method, further including means of deleting {herein interpreted as means of restarting the count or record} data from the RFID device removed from the container (paragraph 59).

Reclaims 18-19: Maloney discloses a system and method, comprising first processing means {herein controller 11/110} having a first database for storing the first value therein and displaying means (paragraphs 38-39, 47-49, and 63).

Re claims 34, 44, 61, and 70: Maloney teaches a system and method, wherein the first and/or the second value data relate to the monetary value {Maloney refers to keys, back in the background of the invention, for valuable items, vault} attributed to said sheet objects and/or the number of sheet objects in said stack (paragraph 63-65).

Re claims 40-42 and 63-64: Maloney discloses a system and method, sensing the RFID device {using infrared sensor 174} within a predetermined locality {herein interpreted as key location/slot} (paragraph 70); and writing tracking information to the RFID device, comprising storing said tracking information in the first database and/or the second database, wherein the tracking information comprises the time and/or the date {herein the on-board controller 72 includes internal memory and clock timer} at which the RFID device is sensed (paragraphs 47-48, 55-59).

Re claims 43 and 66: Maloney teaches a system and method, sensing the RFID device within a predetermined locality (paragraph 70); and triggering an alarm (paragraphs 71).

Re claim 47: Maloney discloses a system and method, an unpacking device for removing sheet objects from the container and determining second value {herein using alpha numeric identifiers, and means of generate a record of which keys were removed from the object carrier} data relating to sheet objects removed from the container (paragraphs 59-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney (US 2004/0021570) in view of Meyer et al. (U.S. 6,575,300).

The teachings of Maloney have been discussed above.

10/580,759

Art Unit: 2887

Maloney fails to specifically teach means of sending the opened container to be recycled after removal of the monetary objects.

Meyer et al. discloses bag stack and making same, which includes means of sending the opened container to be recycled after removal of the monetary objects (col.1, lines 46-64).

In view of Meyer et al.'s teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to employ into the teachings of Maloney means of sending the opened container to be recycled after removal of the monetary objects for further reuse of the container.

11. Claims 26-30, 48, and 55-57 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney (US 2004/0021570) in view of Freeman (US 2001/0049629).

The teachings of Maloney have been discussed above. Maloney further teaches infrared or other types of sensors (paragraph 70), and alarm system {in occurrence of a fault condition} (paragraph 62).

Maloney fails to specifically suggest RF detector.

Freeman discloses package location system, which includes RF detector {herein transmitter 10} (paragraphs 16, 30).

In view of Freeman's teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to employ into the teachings of Maloney an RF detector/sensor so as to provide wireless communication between the RFID tag and the reader/detector for tracking and/or monitoring of the valuable objects.

12. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney (US 2004/0021570) in view of Freeman (US 2001/0049629).

The teachings of Maloney have been discussed above.

Maloney fails to specifically teach means of transmitting the data over the Internet.

Freeman discloses package location system, which includes means of transmitting the data over the Internet (paragraph 24).

In view of Freeman's teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to employ into the teachings of Maloney means of transmitting the data over the Internet so as to enable remote tracking and monitoring of wirelessly sensed tag/label.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 22-25, 36-39, 49-53, and 67-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination with any other references, fails to specifically teach a second processing means having a second database for storing the first value data read from the RFID device and the second value data determined by the unpacking device. These limitations in conjunction with other limitations in the claimed invention were not shown by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number:

10/580,759

Art Unit: 2887

.

Page 8

Muirhead (U.S. 6,943,678) teaches thermoformed apparatus having a communications

device.

Lindsay et al. (US 2005/0242957) teaches deactivating a data tag for user privacy or

tamper-evident packaging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2395.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steve Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

el

Edwyn Labaze Art Unit 2887

January 25, 2008